

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

DATE MAILED:

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/931,426 09/16/97 WASHBURN  $\Box$ **EXAMINER** IM41/0522 MALLINCKRODT & MALLINCKRODT KASTLER, S 317 FIRST SECURITY BANK BUILDING **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER OGDEN UT 84401 1742

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

05/22/98



Application No.

08/931,426

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary

Group Art Unit **Scott Kastler** 

1742

Washburn et al



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	•
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	- •
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensi 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-23	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☑ Claim(s) 1	
☐ Claims	•
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	. D DTO 040
⊠ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing     □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is _approved _disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies o	if the priority documents have been
☐ received.	·
<ul> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the</li> </ul>	
*Certified copies not received:	international bureau (i CT Nuie 17.2(a)).
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)  X Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	0(s).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	18
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON T	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Serial Number: 08/931426 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the admitted prior art of the instant disclosure. The admitted prior art of the instant disclosure, as stated on pages 1 and 2 of the specification for example, teaches a retorting device showing all aspects of the above claim including the ability of operation simultaneously at a temperature within the range of 500 to 1500 degrees F (the admitted prior art is operable at 900 to 1100 degrees F) and at pressures up to atmospheric thereby meeting all requirements of the above claims, since the operational parameters of the system of the admitted prior art of the instant disclosure fall within the range of that permitted by the above claim.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 21 is allowed, since none of the cited or applied prior art shows or fairly suggests the use of the instantly recited mercury trap means.

Serial Number: 08/931426

Art Unit: 1742

4. Claims 2-20 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base

claim and any intervening claims.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. All of Brotherton, Sikander et al, and King are also cited as further examples of prior

art mercury retorting devices.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Scott Kastler whose telephone number is (703) 308-2506.

sk

May 19, 1998 -

SCOTT KASTLER

Page 3

<del>330/10 13</del>00